

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,919	08/31/2001	Brian Cox	P513 DIV I (1737.1460008	9761	
28390	7590 09/11/2003				
MEDTRONIC AVE, INC.			EXAMINER		
3576 UNOCAL PLACE			BUI, VY Q		
SANTA ROS	A, CA 95403				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731		
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>,</i>			Λ.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/942,919	COX ET AL.	
, and the second second	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vy Q. Bui	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2003 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.2	ed to avoid abandonment of th her: (1) a timely filed amendm Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	
PERIOD F	OR REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f).	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the day expire later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	period of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period the Office later than three months at	inding amount of the fee. The appropriate exte d for reply originally set in the final Office action	ension n; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dis	nin the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent			
(a) they raise new issues that would requir		search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see			
(c)			the
(d) they present additional claims without NOTE:	canceling a corresponding nu	nber of finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	would be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendme	ent
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ requapplication in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT place th	е
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consider raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amer explanation of how the new or amended cla	ndment(s) a)⊠ will not be ente aims would be rejected is prov	ered or b) will be entered and an ided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as for	ollows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>30 and 64-67</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: 61 and 72.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>24-29,60,62,63,68,70,71,7</u>	<u>3 and 74</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a) approved or b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure St	atement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	· No(s)	
10. Other:		7 July 12003	
		V	
C. Calant and Trades of Office		09/9/2003	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Advisory Action	Part of Paner N	No 14

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: an endoluminal prosthesis as recited in independent claim 24 only requires self expandable loops and plastically deformable connector elements. PINCHASIK stent includes loops that are self expandable and connector elements that are plastically deformable. Therefore, at least independent claim 24 is clearly anticipated by PINCHASIK et al. (5,449,373) as rejected in the previous "Final Office Action".